

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [A303 Stonehenge](#)  
**Subject:** OUR FURTHER OBJECTION TO A303 ROAD TUNNEL & WHOLE ROAD SCHEME AT STONEHENGE WORLD HERITAGE SITE 25/09/20.  
**Date:** 29 September 2020 00:20:14  
**Importance:** High

---

[REDACTED],  
[REDACTED].  
[REDACTED]  
M: Mr. W. J. Lodge  
Mrs. S. M. Lodge [REDACTED]

Mr. R. Price,  
Case Manager A303 Stonehenge,  
The Planning Inspectorate,  
Room 3/2 O/P,  
Temple Quay House,  
Temple Quay,  
Bristol BS1 6PN.  
29th September 2020

Dear Mr. R. Price,

Re:- OUR FURTHER OBJECTION TO A303 ROAD TUNNEL & WHOLE ROAD SCHEME AT STONEHENGE WORLD HERITAGE SITE 25/09/20.

Please accept sincere apologies due and also encountered some problems trying to access your email address from any links given and your office email address from your website. I finally found the address as used above, we hope you receive this. We also ask you to accept our apologies for delayed submission.

Firstly we retain our original registration of absolute rejection to the whole scheme as above, it is a very cruel, grossly irresponsible, wilfully destructive, and an even uglier scar on our beautiful Green & Pleasant Land. We honestly can't imagine anyone with any degree of social conscience and Christian belief could possibly conceive such a scheme yet it is supported by a supposedly Christian organisation, practising their true faith and respecting all those who have lived and died by various means but have been sleeping there for over 5000 years. It would prove an extremely unjust, sacrilegious and disrespectful act to dig them up right now, move them out of the way and relocate them without a second thought.

We watched the Time Team Group over many years and were certainly educated and extremely fascinated by all their discoveries so we absolutely welcome the news of all the recent further information discovered by the diverse Team of archaeologists who've spent many decades researching Stonehenge and its environs up to Durrington Walls henge. There is some argument amongst experts as to why the mound was constructed there, and as there are burrows and other man-made, then the digging of a road underneath would destroy the final resting place of many of whom would be high-status person or persons, especially as it is in the middle of a monument, i.e. Stonehenge, and the same for Durrington Wall. It well known too that the way these people were buried with their possessions indicating their high status.

As it has the World Heritage Site status, we'd like to draw your attention

to a small park on the other side of the river from the Tower of London which has a World Heritage Site status and when a planning application was submitted for the land opposite, the World Heritage Site status on the Tower of London was put into jeopardy, because it would spoil the view of the Tower of London, so if this was discussed because of the view of the Tower of London, just imagine what could happen if a motorway is railroaded underneath, a World Heritage Site which is far more historically important than the Tower of London. How would it be if our cemeteries were railroaded through, exposing all the sleepers, just for more road infrastructure?

We believe that all the facts from much earlier research projects in the area to the more recent excavations, perfectly justify that it is most important that this World Heritage Site remains so well into perpetuity. We've never heard of any National Infrastructure Projects so far ever being threatened by a road scheme as grotesque as this, we hope that no-one ever thinks of such a monumentally irresponsible scheme in the near, middle or distant future, especially a site of such global respect as this. It's not simply just a case of infrastructures like roads to 'move' transport, it's how the Site for it was chosen, and those who support it, is far beyond normal human comprehension. Roads are always the most expensive and least cost-effective means of moving our millions of vehicles, we really should be thinking: better transport: rail, buses, walking and cycling, for a far fitter nation they are not the healthiest form of transport. The money allocated to this destructive scheme would be far better invested in walking, cycling, rail and buses.

Yours sincerely,

Mr. & Mrs. W. J. & S. M. Lodge  
Original Respondees: 25/09/20

Printed on Forest Stewardship Council paper of mixed resources.